

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

	T		T	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,071	10/13/2005	Thomas Siebel	BE-165PCT	2724
40570 7550 0603/2008 FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER 317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910 NEW YORK, NY 10017			EXAMINER	
		0	STEWART, JASON-DENNIS NEILKEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	•
			MAILDATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,071	SIEBEL, THOMAS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JASON-DENNIS STEWART	3738	

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>05 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
a a fo	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) 🖸	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
have be under 3 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sinc Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENI	DMENTS							
 Interproposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) Interpraise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
	 They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(0	i) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
	NOTE: the incorporation of claims 5 and 6 into cla	im 1 requires new considerations.	(See 37 CFR 1.116 a	nd 41.33(a)).				
4. 🔲 .	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. 🔲 🛚	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
h T	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi- he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
C	laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: <u>1.2 and 5-10</u> . laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFID/	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
_ b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
_ е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. □	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				

/Brian E Pellegrino/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3738

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: .

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments were found not persuasive.